***** FACSIMILE COVER SHEET ****

MAY 11 2006 23:55

- Message To:	
≊ 9157127383ØØ	
Message From:	

05 Pages Follow This Cover Page

Microsoft^{*}

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAY 1 2 2006



Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way Redmond, WA 98052-6399 www.microsoft.com Phone: (425) 882-8080 Fax: (425) 93-MSFAX (938-7329) Telex: 160520 Maft Byue

40A		
To: Ayaz Sheikh		From: Jim Banasky
Company: USPTO, Am	+ Unit 2136	Bldg/Room: 21/1066
CC:		Phone Number: 425 705-3539
Phone Number:		Date & Time Sent: 9/11/06
Fax Number: 571/273	-8300	No. of Pages: 5
Urgent For Review		•
Message	Re: 09/83	3,922 10 010,352
AUTHORIZATION	for Email Communi	ediens
Pursuant to M	IPEP section 30	2.03, the undersigned uttoring
for Applican	t authorizes	Ayaz Sheikh
to commun	icate with him v	ia empil to the address shown below.
		James R. BANOWSKY
	•	Rug No. 37,773
		jimban@microsoft.com

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT: The information in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the addressee listed on this cover sheet. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this telecopy is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at the number listed on this cover sheet and return the original message to us at the above address via the United States Postal Service. We will reimburse any costs you incur in notifying us and returning the message to us. Thank you.

Application Serial No.:	
Application Serial No.:	04/12/2001
Filing Date:	O'Shea, Gregory
Inventors:	Microsoft Corporation
Applicant:	2136
Group Art Unit:	Parthasarathy, P.
Examiner:	3840
Confirmation No.:	171135.01
Title: Methods and Systems for Unilateral Authentication of	f Messages
Title: Methods and Systems for Officers Additionation of	

EXAMINER INTERVIEW AGENDA, MAY 17, 2006, 200p - 300p EDT

Location: Office of Examiner Pramila Parthasarathy, USPTO

Dear Examiner Parthasarathy:

Pursuant to my telephone message I left on your voicemail system, I anticipate coming by your office on the date indicated above for a personal interview regarding the referenced matter. At the same time, I would like to review matter number 10/010,352. A separate agenda for that matter will be sent together with this agenda.

First, there is a matter of an accurate date of the O'Shea reference listed in an IDS filed with this matter and cited as a reference in the current Office Action dated 02/27/2006. The IDS incorrectly listed the reference date as being in the year 2000. In actuality, the publication date of said reference is April 2001.

It is noted that the author of the disputed reference is an inventor of the present invention and that Applicant was aware of the accurate publication date at the time the present application was filed (in April, less than a year after said publication). It is easy to see from the face of the reference why a clerical error was made since the date of 2000 is listed in a footnote on the first page of the reference.

Applicant, as an officer licensed to practice before the USPTO, avers to the authenticity of the date of publication. If this is not enough proof for the Examiner to accept this date as the date of the reference, Applicant requests that the Examiner make a request indicating what proof is required to establish the correct date for this

MAY 11 2006 23:55 FR TO 915712738300 P.03/05

reference, be it an affidavit from the author of the publication (Gregory O'Shea) or something else.

Additionally, Applicant would like to review the substance of the rejections. Particularly, Applicant believes that Applicant's previous arguments claiming that neither reference teaches or suggests deriving a network address from a public key are correct. Therefore, Applicant would like to discuss the Examiner's rationale for upholding the rejection in this regard.

Furthermore, Applicant wants to discuss the term "care-of address" as recited in the claims. Applicant does not believe that either of the cited reference discloses, teaches or suggests a care-of address as recited in the present application.

One other note - our in-house group is starting a plan where we hope to have an attorney from our team in DC and at the USPTO for two weeks of every month - typically, the final two weeks of a month. We hope to do this until we can establish a small office in the area in the near future. I will be making several of these trips and would like to meet as many Examiners as I can while I am there. So I look forward to meeting with you next week.

Thank you for your time and consideration. If you wish to reach me to discuss this agenda, I may be reached at (425)705-3539 during regular business hours, PDT.

Best Regards,

Microsoft Corporation

Reg. No. 37,773

Date

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 1 2 2006

	10/010.352
Application Serial No.:	11/13/2001
Filing Date:	Chalest A
Inventors:	
Applicant:	Microsoft Corporation
Group Art Unit:	
Examiner:	Parthasarathy, P.
Confirmation No.:	. 8322
Confirmation No.:	171135 02
Applicant's Docket No.:	
Title Methods and Systems for Unilateral Authentication of	Messages

EXAMINER INTERVIEW AGENDA, MAY 17, 2006, 200p - 300p EDT

Location: Office of Examiner Pramila Parthasarathy, USPTO

Dear Examiner Parthasarathy:

Pursuant to my telephone message I left on your voicemail system, I anticipate coming by your office on the date indicated above for a personal interview regarding the referenced matter. At the same time, I would like to review matter number 09/833,922. A separate agenda for that matter will be sent together with this agenda.

First, there is a matter of an accurate date of the O'Shea reference listed in an IDS filed with this matter and cited as a reference in the current Office Action dated 02/27/2006. The IDS incorrectly listed the reference date as being in the year 2000. In actuality, the publication date of said reference is April 2001.

It is noted that the author of the disputed reference is an inventor of the present invention and that Applicant was aware of the accurate publication date at the time the present application was filed (in April, less than a year after said publication). It is easy to see from the face of the reference why a clerical error was made since the date of 2000 is listed in a footnote on the first page of the reference.

Applicant, as an officer licensed to practice before the USPTO, avers to the authenticity of the date of publication. If this is not enough proof for the Examiner to accept this date as the date of the reference, Applicant requests that the Examiner make a request indicating what proof is required to establish the correct date for this

MAY 11 2006 23:56 FR TO 915712738300 P.05/05

reference, be it an affidavit from the author of the publication (Gregory O'Shea) or

something else.

Additionally, Applicant would like to review the substance of the rejections.

Particularly, Applicant believes that Applicant's previous arguments claiming that neither

reference teaches or suggests deriving a network address from a public key are correct.

Therefore, Applicant would like to discuss the Examiner's rationale for upholding the

rejection in this regard.

Furthermore, Applicant would like to discuss the Section 112 rejections and

whether or not the claims include elements that are not supported in the specification,

as asserted in the Office Action. Applicant believes that explicit support for these terms

that are well known in the art is not required.

One other note - our in-house group is starting a plan where we hope to have an

attorney from our team in DC and at the USPTO for two weeks of every month -

typically, the final two weeks of a month. We hope to do this until we can establish a

small office in the area in the near future. I will be making several of these trips and

would like to meet as many Examiners as I can while I am there. So I look forward to

meeting with you next week.

Thank you for your time and consideration. If you wish to reach me to discuss

this agenda, I may be reached at (425)705-3539 during regular business hours, PDT.

Best Regards,

James R. Banowsky

Microsoft Corporation

Reg. No. 37,773

5-11-2006

Date